1	JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General of the State of California
2	ALAN S. METH,
3	Deputy Attorney General 110 West A Street, Suite 700 San Diego, California 92101
4	Telephone: (619) 237-7224
5	Attorneys for Complainant
6	
7	BEFORE THE
8	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
9	BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
12	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation) No. D-3604 Against:
14) STIPULATION FOR MINA RATWANI, M.D.) SETTLEMENT AND
15	30634 Lucania Dr.) DECISION Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90274)
16	Physician's and Surgeon's) .
17	Certificate No. A-38728)
18	Respondent.)
19	
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the
21	parties to the above-entitled matter that the following
22	allegations are true.
23	1. Kenneth J. Wagstaff, complainant herein, and
24	Executive Director of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance of
25	the State of California, is represented by John K. Van De Kamp,

Attorney General of the State of California, by Alan S. Meth,

1.

26

27

Deputy Attorney General.

- 2. Mina Ratwani, M.D. (hereafter, "respondent"), is represented by Jeffrey Adrian Villagran, who has been retained as her attorney in regard to the administrative action herein and that the respondent has counselled with Mr. Villagran concerning the effect of this stipulation, which the respondent has carefully read and fully understands.
- 3. Respondent has received and read the accusation which is presently on file as Case No. D-3604, before the Division of Medical Quality of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance of the State of California.
- 4. Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the above-entitled accusation and that said charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon respondent's medical license heretofore issued by the Board of Medical Quality Assurance.
- 5. Respondent and her counsel are aware of each of respondent's rights, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against her, the right to present evidence in her favor and call witnesses on her behalf, or to testify herself, her right to contest the charges and allegations, and any other rights which may be accorded to her pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (Govt. Code, §11500 et seq.), her right to reconsideration, review by the superior court and to appeal to any other court. Respondent understands that in signing this stipulation rather than contesting the accusation, she is enabling the Division of

5

-

Medical Quality of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance of the State of California to issue the following order from this stipulation without further process.

- 6. Respondent freely and voluntarily waives each and every one of the rights set forth hereinabove.
- 7. Respondent admits that she is guilty of violating Business and Professions Code sections 2236(a), 490, 2234 (e), 2261 and 810. Respondent further admits the allegations contained in paragraphs 9 through 16 of the accusation are true. The facts alleged in said paragraphs are incorporated herein by this reference. These admissions are made for the purpose of this stipulation only, and in the event this stipulation is not adopted by the Division of Medical Quality, the admissions made herein shall be inadmissible in any proceeding involving the parties to it.
- 8. Base upon the foregoing, it is stipulated and agreed that the Division of Medical Quality may issue the following as its decision in this case.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that license number A-38728 issued to Mina Ratwani, M.D. is revoked. However, said revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for five years on the following terms and conditions:

1. As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for sixty (60) days beginning the effective date of this decision.

- 2. Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of
- 2 this decision, respondent shall take and pass an oral
- 3 examination, in a subject to be designated, to be administered
- 4 by the Division or its designee. If respondent fails the
- 5 examination, respondent must take and pass a re-examination
- 6 consisting of a written and an oral clinical examination. The
- 7 waiting period between repeat examinations shall be at three
- 8 month intervals until success is achieved. The Division shall
- 9 pay the cost of the first examination and respondent shall pay
- 10 the cost of any subsequent re-examinations. Respondent shall
- 11 not practice medicine until respondent has passed the required
- 12 examination and has been so notified by the Division in
- 13 writing.
- 3. Within ninety (90) days of the effective date of
- 15 this decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent
- 16 shall submit to the Division for its prior approval an
- 17 educational program or course, which shall not be less than 40
- 18 hours per year, for each year of probation. At least one
- 19 course per year must be in Medical Ethics. This program shall
- 20 be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education requirements
- 21 for re-licensure. Following the completion of each course, the
- 22 Division or its designee may administer an examination to test
- 23 respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide
- 24 proof of attendance for 65 hours of continuing medical
- 25 education of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this
- 26 condition and were approved in advance by the Division.
 - 4. Respondent shall obey all federal, state, and local

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.

- Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations 5. under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program.
- Respondent shall appear in person for interviews 7. with the Division's medical consultant upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.
- The period of probation shall not run during the time respondent is residing or practicing outside the jurisdiction of California. If, during probation, respondent moves out of the jurisdiction of California to reside or practice elsewhere, respondent is required to immediately notify the Division in writing of the date of departure, and the date of return, if any.
- 9. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate will be fully restored.
- If respondent violates probation in any respect, 10. the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is

final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the 1 2 matter is final. I concur in the stipulation and order. 3 4 DATED: JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General 5 of the State of California 6 7 8 ALAN S. METH Deputy Attorney General 9 Attorneys for Complainant 10 11 I concur in the stipulation and order. 12 DATED: 13 14 15 JEFFREY ADRÍAN VILLAGRAN 16 Attorney for Respondent 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

I have read the above stipulation fully and have 1 discussed it with my counsel. I understand that by its terms I 2 3 will be waiving certain rights accorded me under California law. I also understand that by its terms the Board of Medical Quality 4 Assurance will issue a decision and order on this stipulation 5 6 whereby my license to practice medicine will be subject to certain terms and conditions. I agree to the above stipulation 7 for settlement. 8 DATED: 9-14-87 9 10 11 12 13 Respondent 14 The foregoing is adopted by the Board of Medical 15 Quality Assurance in this matter and shall be effective on the 16 16th day of December , 1987. 17 IT IS SO ORDERED this <u>l6th</u> day of <u>November</u> 18 1987. 19 20 21 BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE 22 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 23 JOHN W. SIMMONS, Secretary Treasurer Division of Medical Quality 24 25

7.

26

27

JOHN K. VAN DE KAMP, Attorney General of the State of California ALAN S. METH, Deputy Attorney General 110 West A Street, Suite 700 San Diego, California 92101 3 Telephone: (619) 237-7224 4 Attorneys for Complainant 5 6 7 BEFORE THE 8 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 9 BOARD OF MEDICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE 10 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 11 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 D-3604 In the Matter of the Accusation No. Against: 14 ACCUSATION MINA RATWANI, M.D. 15 30634 Lucania Dr. Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90274 16 Physician's and Surgeon's 17 Certificate No. A-38728 18 Respondent. 19 Complainant, Kenneth J. Wagstaff, alleges: 20 He is the Executive Director of the Board of 21 Medical Quality Assurance of the State of California ("Board") and makes and files this accusation in his official capacity. 23 At all times herein mentioned respondent Mina 2. 24 Ratwani, M.D., held Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. 25

A-38728 authorizing her to practice medicine in the State of

26

27

California.

 3. Sections 2227 and 2234 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provide that the Division of Medical Quality of the Board of Medical Quality Assurance ("Division") may suspend or revoke the license of any certificate holder who has been guilty of unprofessional conduct.

- 4. Sections 2236(a) and 490 of the Code provide in pertinent part that the Division may suspend or revoke the license of a licensee upon the licensee's conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- 5. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to sections 2236(a) and 490 of the Code in that:

On February 20, 1986, in the Municipal Court of the State of California, Central Orange County Judicial District, in Case No. 85 CF 00626, respondent was convicted following her plea of guilty to violating Insurance Code section 556(a)(1), a misdemeanor, two counts. Imposition of sentence was suspended and respondent was placed on probation for three years on the condition, among others, that she serve 41 days in the Orange County Jail, with the jail term stayed pending completion of 250 hours of community service.

This conviction is substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon.

6. Section 2234 of the Code provides in pertinent part:

*The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional

conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (e) The comission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician or surgeon."
- 7. Section 2261 of the Code provides:

"Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document directly or indirectly related to the practice of medicine or podiatry which falsely represents the existence or non-existence of a state of facts, constitutes unprofessional conduct."

- 8. Section 810 of the Code provides in pertinent part:
- "(a) It shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action, including suspension or revocation of a license or cetificate, for a health care professional to do any of the following in connection with his professional activities:
 - (1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claim for the payment of a loss under a contract of insurance.
 - (2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or use the

2

3

15

16

22

23

24

26

same, or to allow it to be presented or used in support of any such claim."

On or about September 6, 1984, respondent submitted or caused to be submitted to Farmers Insurance Exchange, the automobile insurance company of H N., a claim for the payment of \$1,145.00 under a contract of insurance issued against loss by Farmers Insurance Exchange, and a report written by respondent dated September 6, 1984, describing the patient's chief complaints, history, physical examination, diagnostic impression, treatment and prognosis. This report indicates that H N. related that he was involved in an automobile accident on June 11 | 29, 1984, and since that time, the patient has experienced persistent and acute discomfort over the posterior neck and the lumbar region of his back and has begun to feel nervous as a result of his symptomatology. The report further indicates a physical examination performed by respondent on July 23, 1984, disclosed a patient in some distress with tenderness and restricted movement secondary to muscle spasms as evidenced by the manner he held and rotated his head and neck and assumed his positions on and off the examining table, a diagnostic impression was formed of cervical, dorsal and lumbar musculo-ligamentous sprain, the patient was treated with a course of physio-therapy and seen in follow-up on August 1 and August 8, 1984 without change or improvement, a follow-up on August 22, 1984 disclosed moderate improvement, an examination on September 5, 1984 25 revealed the patient's symptoms were reportedly recurring more

periodic and with less intensity and his injury was virtually resolved objectively, and his prognosis was guarded.

- 10. Respondent's conduct as described in paragraph 9 was dishonest and is evidence of unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2234(e) and a cause for discipline because of, but not limited to, the following:
- A. He N. did not relate any physical complaints to respondent.
- B. Respondent did not provide any medical treatment to
- C. The course of treatment planned for H N. by respondent between July 23, 1984 and September 6, 1984, was designed for the sole purpose of increasing the amount of money to be billed to Farmers Insurance Exchange.
- D. The findings of distress, tenderness, muscle spasms, and restricted movement allegedly discovered upon the physical examination are false in that the patient suffered from no distress, tenderness, restricted movement, or muscle spasms.
- E. The diagnostic impression of cervical dorsal and lumbar musculo-ligamentous sprain is false.
- F. The course of treatment described by respondent and any alleged improvement in the patient's symptomatology is false in that the patient never suffered any of the symptoms alleged.
 - G. The prognosis is false.
- H. The claim to Farmers Insurance Exchange for the payment of a loss under the contract of insurance is false.

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

> 19 20

> 21 22

23

2425

26

27

11. Respondent's conduct as described in paragraph 9 constitutes a false representation of the existence of a state of facts, and is evidence of unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2261 and a cause for discipline pursuant to section 2234, for the reasons set forth in paragraph 10.

12. Respondent's conduct as described in paragraph 9 is evidence of unprofessional conduct in violation of section 810 and a cause for discipline pursuant to section 2234 for the reasons set forth in paragraph 10.

On or about September 7, 1984, respondent submitted or caused to be submitted to Farmers Insurance Exchange, the automobile insurance company of F M., a claim for the payment of \$795.00 under a contract of insurance issued against loss by Farmers Insurance Exchange, and a report written by respondent dated September 7, 1984, describing the patient's history, the results of a physical examination, diagnostic impression, treatment and course, and prognosis. This report indicated that F M. related that on July 15, 1984, he was involved in an automobile accident and since that time, he has continued to experience pain and stiffness in the neck, mid back, low back, and right arm, occasional headaches, and nervousness. The report further indicates a physical examination performed by respondent on August 1, 1984, disclosed a patient in some distress, tenderness, restricted movement, muscle spasms, and pain in the cervical, dorsal and lumbar areas, tenderness and muscle spasms in the right arm were found. The report also contains a diagnostic impression of cervical, dorsal and lumbar

musculo-ligamentous sprain and contusions of the right arm, describes a course of treatment with physio-therapy to the neck and back areas between August 1 and August 23, 1984, with follow-up examination which revealed no change until August 22, 1984, at which time the patient showed slight improvement, and contains a prognosis of guarded.

- 14. Respondent's conduct as described in paragraph 13 was dishonest and is evidence of unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2234(e) and a cause for discipline because of, but not limited to, the following:
- A. F M. did not relate any physical complaints to respondent.
- B. Respondent did not provide any medical treatment to M.
- C. The course of treatment planned for F M. by respondent between August 1, 1984 to September 7, 1984, was designed for the sole purpose of increasing the amount of money to be billed to Farmers Insurance Exchange.
- D. The findings of distress, tenderness, muscle spasms, and restricted movement allegedly discovered upon the physical examination are false in that the patient suffered from no distress, tenderness, restricted movement, or muscle spasms.
- E. The diagnostic impression of cervical dorsal and lumbar musculo-ligamentous sprain is false.
- F. The course of treatment described by respondent and any alleged improvement in the patient's symptomatology is false in that the patient never suffered any of the symptoms alleged.

9

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

G. The prognosis is false.

- H. The claim to Farmers Insurance Exchange for the payment of a loss under the contract of insurance is false.
- 15. Respondent's conduct as described in paragraph 13 constitutes a false representation of the existence of a state of facts, and is evidence of unprofessional conduct in violation of section 2261 and a cause for discipline pursuant to section 2234, for the reasons set forth in paragraph 14.
- 16. Respondent's conduct as described in paragraph 13 is evidence of unprofessional conduct in violation of section 810 and a cause for discipline pursuant to section 2234 for the reasons set forth in paragraph 14.

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that the Division hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein, and following said hearing issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A-38728 issued to Mina Ratwani, M.D.; and
- 2. Taking such other and further action as the Division in its discretion deems proper.

DATED: January 20, 1987

KENNETH (J. WAGSTAFF

Executive Director

Board of Medical Quality

Assurance

State of California

Complainant